

RECEIVED

EIS000287

OCT 21 1999

21 MS. PARKER: Hi. I'm Victoria Parker. And I
22 believe it's outrageous that the DOE, NRC, et al.,
23 places the onus of gathering and interpreting
24 their data on us, the citizens, in order to
25 further understand what our health risks are.

I

1

1

1 1 don't believe that the agencies charged with our
2 2 protection and wellbeing have adequately addressed
3 3 the safety issues, issues ranging from transport
4 4 to containment. One representative from a power
5 5 company asserted that maintaining 77 sites is more
2 6 hazardous than one. This is not taking into
7 7 consideration that numerous communities along
8 8 transport lines will have no protection against an
9 9 accident. The DOE also asserts that this is cost
10 10 efficient. Have they interpreted the costs of
11 11 millions of additional cancer patients as a result
12 12 of an accident or prolonged exposure due to
13 13 routine shipping? Furthermore, is it genuinely a 3
14 14 better move to place waste in an area which is
15 15 rocked with considerable seismic activity? It
16 16 should be the responsibility of the government,
17 17 all regulatory agencies therein and the
18 18 corporations involved to honestly and adequately
19 19 inform us of the health and safety risks of such
20 20 ventures.

21 MS. SWEENEY: Thank you.

22 MR. LAWSON: Thank you. Okay. Kay Citron,
23 to be followed by Susan Alzner and Eileen Supko.